

Review Order Committee Report March 28, 2008

Gary Whiting, Brian Bradie, & Kip Redick

1. From listings in USNewsWorldReport – top ca. 60 MS Univ. South; ca. 60 MS Univ. North; and top 125 LA Colleges – surveyed for types of review systems.

The small LA schools primarily had model D. The remainder of the schools were split among the other models.

2. We attempted to contact administration and faculty at 6 schools that were models A, B, & C.

Univ Richmond: Just this year – decided to become “B” from an “C”. Concerns were perceived too much power at the Dean level and limited input of information about various discipline at the University committee level.

Univ. Tampa: “C” Works well for them due to the substantial review by the college level committee review (most important review).

Univ. N Florida “A” System has been the same – and probably will not change. Works well since most of the power is in the hands of the faculty (union state and university).

Shenadoah “B” Works well for them – college (level of department) and Dean feed into a University committee.

Elon “B” only during the final year of review (3 & 6 year review). Works well.