Faculty Senate Sabbatical Review Sub-Committee	
SABBATICAL REVIEW WORK SHEET	
Faculty Member:	
Department/Division:	
College	
Leave Period Proposed:	
Proposal Initiative:	
Evaluator(s):	
Evaluation Date:	
Step 1: ELIGIBILITY CHECK	
(a) Full-time tenured or tenured-track instructional faculty and full-time	
administrative faculty (b) After six years of service at CNU, and may reapply after intervals of	
no less than six years of service after receiving a sabbatical.	
(c) The terms of the sabbatical enable a recipient to elect to use one full	
academic year, nine months, at one-half salary, or one semester (fall or	
spring) at full salary.	· cmon
If all answers are "yes", go to step 2; otherw	rise STOP.
Step 2: APPLICATION PROCEDURES CHECK	
(a) Timeliness	
(b) Completeness of Application	
(c) Use of Approved Format and Forms	
(d) Departmental Recommendation (for a Chair, the Dean's	
Recommendation	
(e) Professional Resume	
If all answers are "yes", go to step 3; otherw	vise STOP.
Step 3: CRITERIA	
*Ranking (0-5): 5—Excellent; 4—Very Good; 3—Good; 2—Fair; 1—Poor; 0—Absent	
?—Cannot Determine	
1. APPLICATION PROCEDURES	
(a) Overall Clarity of Proposal	
2. INTRINSIC MERITS OF THE SABBATICAL PROJECT	
(a) Current Status & Feasibility (including access to materials, archives, collections, institutions, etc.)	
(b) Deliverable Activities (by end of leave)	
(c) Commitment to Completion of Project	
(d) Project's Specific Relation to University's Strategic Plan	
3. RELEVANCE TO FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES	
(a) Quality (or promise of quality) of applicant's work as Teacher &	
Scholar	
(b) Professional Development	
(c) Instructional Development (short range & long range)	
<b>4. SENIORITY</b> (Length and Quality of Applicant's Service to the University.	
6 years: 1 point; 7 years: 2 points; 8 years: 3 points; 9 years: 4 points; 10 years	
and plus: 5 points.)	
5. PROJECT'S POTENTIAL BENEFIT TO THE UNIVERSITY	
OVERALL EVALUATION (Average points of section 1 to section 5)	